

Sustainable Equilibria

- ▶ Myerson (1996) argued informally for a new refinement concept that he termed **sustainable equilibria**.
- ▶ In this line of argument:
 - ▶ **Strict** Nash equilibria are sustainable.
 - ▶ Battle of sexes: **only strict equilibria are sustainable**.
 - ▶ If a game has a **unique equilibrium**, it is **sustainable**.
 - ▶ Every generic game has a sustainable equilibrium.

Hofbauer conjecture

Hofbauer (2000) expanded on Myerson's idea and formalised the notion of sustainable equilibria.

- ▶ He defines **an equivalence relation** among pairs (G, σ) where G is a game and σ is an equilibrium of G .
- ▶ $(G, \sigma) \sim (\hat{G}, \hat{\sigma})$ if $\sigma = \hat{\sigma}$ (up to a relabelling) and the **restriction of G and \hat{G} to the best replies to σ and $\hat{\sigma}$, resp., are the same game** (up to a relabelling).
- ▶ **An equilibrium σ of a game G is sustainable iff $(G, \sigma) \sim (\hat{G}, \hat{\sigma})$ and $\hat{\sigma}$ is the unique equilibrium of \hat{G} .**

Example: Battle of the sexes

3 Nash equilibria: 2 strict $\sigma = (t, l)$ and $\theta = (b, r)$, and 1 mixed.

$$G = \begin{array}{c} \\ t \\ b \end{array} \begin{array}{cc} l & r \\ \hline (3, 2) & (0, 0) \\ \hline (0, 0) & (2, 3) \end{array}$$

By adding two strategies, σ is the unique equilibrium of \hat{G} :

$$\hat{G} = \begin{array}{c} \\ t \\ b \\ x \end{array} \begin{array}{ccc} l & r & y \\ \hline (3, 2) & (0, 0) & (0, 1) \\ \hline (0, 0) & (2, 3) & (-2, 4) \\ \hline (1, 0) & (4, -2) & (-1, -1) \end{array}$$

- ▶ Hence, the strict equilibrium σ is sustainable in G .
- ▶ The mixed equilibrium is not sustainable (**prove it?**).
- ▶ This is in line with Myerson requirements.

Hofbauer conjecture

Hofbauer conjecture: A regular equilibrium is sustainable if and only if it has index $+1$.

- ▶ von Schemde & von Stengel (2008) proved the conjecture for 2-player games using polytopial geometry.
- ▶ We prove it for N -player games using algebraic topology.
- ▶ **Corollary 1:** since the sum of the indices of equilibria is $+1$, any regular game has a sustainable equilibrium.
- ▶ **Corollary 2:** Since the set of regular games is open and dense, almost every game has a sustainable equilibrium.